





Solving the Monge-Ampère differential equation in the context of semi-Lagrangian advection schemes

Jean-Francois Cossette^{a,*}

^a Université de Montréal, Montréal, Canada

Piotr K. Smolarkiewicz^b

^bNational Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO80307, USA

Outline

- Introduction and motivation
- Advection in EULAG
- A Semi-Lagrangian scheme
- MA and mass continuity equations
- Results
- Conclusions

Task

Solve a Monge-Ampere equation in the context of semi-Lagrangian advection for a variety of flows

Motivation

To improve consistency between semi-Lagrangian integration of fluid PDEs and the mass continuity equation

(e.g: intensive variables such as pot. temperature, momentum per unit of mass, passive tracer, etc...)

• Expectations

Observe improved conservation of advected scalars

(beginning with passive advection for incompressible fluids in a cartesian geometry using periodic b.c.)

1.Advection in Eulag

Approximate integrals for fluid PDEs

$$\Psi^{(n+1)} = A \left(\Psi^{n} + 0.5 \, \Delta t \, R^{(n)} \right) + 0.5 \, \Delta t \, R^{(n+1)}$$

- A= Eulerian/ semi-Lagrangian operator
- Passive advection: R=0

$$\boldsymbol{\Psi}^{(n+1)} = \boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{\Psi}^n)$$

3.The semi-Lagrangian approach

Lagrangian evolution equation

$$\psi(\mathbf{x},t) = \psi(\mathbf{x}_0,t_0) + \int_T R \,\mathrm{d}t$$

- Involves
 - Interpolation
 - Integration along the trajectory
- Passive advection: interpolation only

$$\boldsymbol{\Psi}^{(n+1)} = \boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{\Psi}^n)$$

Requires knowledge of departure points





EULAG workshop, Septemper 6-10 2008, Bad Tölz, Germany



upstream interpolation

EULAG workshop, Septemper 6-10 2008, Bad Tölz, Germany

- Allows for the use of a constant mesh throughout advection (Eulerian aspect) by relabelling Lagrangian particles
- Time-step is not constrained by CFL (Lagrangian aspect)
- Defect: Typically, SL schemes based on upstream interpolation are not conservative since mass continuity equation is not accounted for (e.g. as in conservative remappings)
- Remedy: Solve a Monge-Ampère equation to improve consitency between mass continuity equation and departure points

4.The mass continuity and Monge-Ampère equations

• Consider the flow map and its Jacobian

$$\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0 = \int_{t_0}^t \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t') \, \mathrm{d}t' \qquad \qquad \mathbf{J} := \det\left\{\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_0}{\partial \mathbf{x}}\right\}$$

- Note that J=1 at initial time
- Differentiate J with respect to time

$$\frac{\partial \ln \mathbf{J}}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}$$

• For an incompressible fluid,



 $\frac{\partial \ln \mathbf{J}}{\partial t} = 0$

- J=1 at all time and everywhere inside the fluid
- geometrical meaning : volume of fluid parcels
- However, the flow map

$$(\mathbf{x},t) \rightarrow (\mathbf{x}_0,t_0)$$

is not accurate. Indeed,

$$\mathbf{x}_0 \approx \mathbf{x} - \int_{t_0}^t \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t') \, \mathrm{d}t'$$

Consequence & link with mass continuity

$$\mathbf{J} := \det\left\{\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_0}{\partial \mathbf{x}}\right\} \neq 1$$

 So that J comes *closer* to unity, find the solution to

$$J = det \left\{ \frac{\partial (\mathbf{x_0} + \nabla \phi)}{\partial \mathbf{x_i}} \right\} = 1$$

• e.g in 2D, we have to solve the Monge-Ampère equation:

$$a\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial x^2} + 2b\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial x\partial y} + c\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial y^2} + e\left(\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial x^2}\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial y^2} - \left(\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial x\partial y}\right)^2\right) + d = 0$$

- Nonlinear, elliptic, 2nd order PDE
- This form of MA :Rare in literature -> Hessian

5.Results

• 2D passive advection



• 2D passive advection



- Enhanced tracer conservation
- Accuracy of J=1 to round-off error
- Courant number=4

• 2D passive advection



Convergence to solution



• 3D passive advection



• Semi-Lagrangian



• Semi-Lagrangian + MA



• Eulerian



- 2D turbulence (ILES)
- Increasing Jacobian accuracy doesn't seem to have any effect





- Possible explanation:sharp gradients in small scales implies poor interpolation
- In turn, interpolation overwhelms MA improvement

6.Summary

- Faster MA solver (to preserve efficiency of SL scheme)
- Variational formulation
- Failure in turbulent flows
- conservative mappings
- Expanding possibilities: full compressible version of MA solver in curvilinear coordinates (e.g., climate modelling, Solar MHD)
- Grid adaptivity

Thanks!